

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appellant:	Bin ZHANG	§	Confirmation No.:	5792
		§		
Serial No.:	10/694,367	§	Group Art Unit:	2168
		§		
Filed:	10/27/2003	§	Examiner:	J. A. Morrison
		§		
For:	Data Mining Method	§	Docket No.:	200310832-1
	And System Using	§		
	Regression Clustering	§		

APPEAL BRIEF

Mail Stop Appeal Brief – Patents
Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Date: April 9, 2007

Sir:

Appellant hereby submits this Appeal Brief in connection with the above-identified application. A Notice of Appeal was filed via facsimile on February 16, 2007.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST 3

II. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES..... 4

III. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS 5

IV. STATUS OF THE AMENDMENTS..... 6

V. SUMMARY OF THE CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER..... 7

VI. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL..... 9

VII. ARGUMENT 10

 A. Claims 1-17 and 28-30..... 10

 B. Claims 18-23 10

 C. Claims 24-27 10

 D. Conclusion..... 11

VIII. CLAIMS APPENDIX..... 12

IX. EVIDENCE APPENDIX 19

X. RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX 26

Appl. No. 10/694,367
Appeal Brief dated April 9, 2007
Reply to final Office action of October 17, 2006

I. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest is the Hewlett-Packard Development Company (HPDC), a Texas Limited Partnership, having its principal place of business in Houston, Texas. The Assignment from the inventor to HPDC was recorded on October 27, 2003, at Reel/Frame 014652/0977.

Appl. No. 10/694,367
Appeal Brief dated April 9, 2007
Reply to final Office action of October 17, 2006

II. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Appellant is unaware of any related appeals or interferences.

Appl. No. 10/694,367
Appeal Brief dated April 9, 2007
Reply to final Office action of October 17, 2006

III. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

Originally filed claims: 1-30.

Claim cancellations: None.

Added claims: None.

Presently pending claims: 1-30.

Presently appealed claims: 1-30.

Appl. No. 10/694,367
Appeal Brief dated April 9, 2007
Reply to final Office action of October 17, 2006

IV. STATUS OF THE AMENDMENTS

Appellant attempted to amend various claims after the Final Office Action dated October 17, 2006, but the Examiner did not enter the amendments.

V. SUMMARY OF THE CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

With the increase in the amount of data being stored in databases, the need to efficiently and accurately analyze data is increasing. Appellant's disclosure, para. [0002]. Appellant's contribution relates to techniques for efficiently mining data from datasets distributed across multiple locations.

According to the invention of claim 1, a processor-based method comprises selecting a set number of functions correlating variable parameters of a dataset. See e.g., Fig. 2, ref. no. 30 and para. [0025]. The method further comprises clustering the dataset by iteratively applying a regression algorithm and a K-Harmonic Means performance function on the set number of functions to determine a pattern in said dataset. See e.g., Fig. 2 and paras. [0025]-[0030].

According to the invention of claim 9, a storage medium comprises program instructions executable by a processor for selecting a set number of functions correlating variable parameters of a dataset. The program instructions also determine distances between datapoints of the dataset and values correlated with the set number of functions, calculate harmonic averages of the distances, regress the set number of functions using datapoint probability and weighting factors associated with the determined distances, repeating the determining and calculating for the regressed set of functions, compute a change in harmonic averages for the set number of functions prior to and subsequent to the regressing, and reiterating the regressing, repeating and computing upon determining the change in harmonic averages is greater than a predetermined value to thereby determine a pattern in the dataset. See e.g., Fig. 2 and paras. [0025]-[0030].

According to the invention of claim 15, a system comprises an input port configured to receive data and a processor configured to regress functions correlating variable parameters of a set of the data, cluster the functions using a K-Harmonic Mean performance function, and repeat the regressing and clustering sequentially to thereby determine a pattern in the dataset. See e.g., Fig. 2 and paras. [0025]-[0030].

According to the invention of claim 18, a system comprises a plurality of data sources and a means for regressively clustering datapoints from the plurality of data sources without transferring data between the plurality of data sources to thereby determine a pattern in data contained in said data sources. See e.g., Fig. 2 and paras. [0025]-[0030].

According to the invention of claim 24, a system comprises a plurality of data sources each having a processor configured to access datapoints within the respective data source and a central station coupled to the plurality of data sources and comprising a processor. The processors of the central station and plurality of data sources are collectively configured to mine the datapoints of the data sources as a whole without transferring all of the datapoints between the data sources and the central station to thereby determine a pattern in datapoints contained in the data sources. See e.g., Fig. 2 and paras. [0025]-[0030].

According to the invention of claim 28, a processor-based method for mining data comprises independently applying a regression clustering algorithm to a plurality of distributed datasets and developing matrices from probability and weighting factors computed from the regression clustering algorithm. The matrices individually represent the distributed datasets without including all datapoints within the datasets. The method further comprises determining global coefficient vectors from a composite of the matrices and multiplying functions correlating similar variable parameters of the distributed datasets by the global coefficient vectors to thereby determine a pattern in the datasets. See e.g., Fig. 2 and paras. [0025]-[0030].

Appl. No. 10/694,367
Appeal Brief dated April 9, 2007
Reply to final Office action of October 17, 2006

VI. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

Whether claims 1-30 are anticipated by Zhang et al. ("K-Harmonic Means-Data Clustering Algorithm," hereinafter the "Zhang Reference").

VII. ARGUMENT

A. Claims 1-17 and 28-30

Appellant selects claim 1 as representative of this claim grouping for purpose of the following argument. Claim 1 requires “iteratively applying a regression algorithm and a K-Harmonic Means performance function on the set number of functions to determine a pattern in said dataset.” Claim 1 thus requires “regression.” The Zhang Reference (authored by the present inventor) does not teach regression and, instead, refers to clustering. Clustering and regression are quite distinct. As proof of this point, Appellant submits the attached Table of Contents and Index from a well-known textbook entitled “Applied Regression Analysis” in the Evidence Appendix. Nowhere in the Table of Contents or in the Index of this regression-based textbook does a reference to “clustering” exist. This evidence proves that clustering and regression are distinct concepts.

Additionally, in the Zhang Reference, the clusters are represented by simple geometric centers. Each cluster is a subset of data surrounding a geometric point. In claim 1, however, clusters are represented by “functions” that correlate parameters of the dataset. As such, the claimed “functions” could be lines, curves, planes, hyperplanes, etc., not geometric centers.

Based on the foregoing, Appellant respectfully submits that the rejections of the claims in this first grouping be reversed, and the claims set for issue.

B. Claims 18-23

Appellant selects claim 18 as representative of this grouping. Claim 18 requires “regressively clustering datapoints.” Because the Zhang Reference does not teach regression as explained above, the Examiner erred in rejecting claim 18. Based on the foregoing, Appellant respectfully submits that the rejections of the claims in this grouping be reversed, and the claims set for issue.

C. Claims 24-27

With regard to claim 24, the Examiner’s Final Office Action quoted the claim language and simply pointed to page 1 of the Zhang reference. Independent claim 24 requires a plurality of data sources and a central station. Each of the plurality of data sources and the central station comprise a processor.

Appl. No. 10/694,367
Appeal Brief dated April 9, 2007
Reply to final Office action of October 17, 2006


The claim further requires that the processors of the data sources and the central station "are collectively configured to mine the datapoints of the data sources as a whole without transferring all of the datapoints between the data sources and the central station." The Appellant has reviewed page 1 of the Zhang Reference, as well as the rest of the document, and simply does not find a teaching of this combination of limitations.

Based on the foregoing, Appellant respectfully submits that the rejections of the claims in this grouping be reversed, and the grouping set for issue.

D. Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, Appellant respectfully submits that the Examiner erred in rejecting all pending claims. It is believed that no extensions of time or fees are required, beyond those that may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. However, in the event that additional extensions of time are necessary to allow consideration of this paper, such extensions are hereby petitioned under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a), and any fees required (including fees for net addition of claims) are hereby authorized to be charged to Hewlett-Packard Development Company's Deposit Account No. 08-2025.

Respectfully submitted,



Jonathan M. Harris
PTO Reg. No. 44,144
CONLEY ROSE, P.C.
(713) 238-8000 (Phone)
(713) 238-8008 (Fax)
ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Intellectual Property Administration
Legal Dept., M/S 35
P.O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

VIII. CLAIMS APPENDIX

1. (Previously presented) A processor-based method, comprising:
selecting a set number of functions correlating variable parameters of a dataset; and
clustering the dataset by iteratively applying a regression algorithm and a K-Harmonic Means performance function on the set number of functions to determine a pattern in said dataset.
2. (Original) The processor-based method of claim 1, wherein said clustering comprises:
determining distances between datapoints of the dataset and values correlated with the set number of functions;
regressing the set number of functions using datapoint probability and weighting factors associated with the determined distances;
calculating a difference of harmonic averages for the distances determined prior to and subsequent to said regressing; and
repeating said regressing, determining and calculating upon determining the difference of harmonic averages is greater than a predetermined value.
3. (Original) The processor-based method of claim 2, wherein said determining the distances comprises determining distances from each datapoint of the dataset to values within each function of the set number of functions.
4. (Original) The processor-based method of claim 2, wherein said selecting and said clustering are conducted for a plurality of datasets each from a different data source.
5. (Original) The processor-based method of claim 4, wherein said selecting and said clustering are conducted in parallel for each of the plurality of datasets.

6. (Original) The processor-based method of claim 4, further comprising determining a common coefficient vector to compensate for variations between similar sets of functions within the different data sources.

7. (Original) The processor-based method of claim 6, wherein said determining the common coefficient vector comprises:

- developing matrices from the dataset datapoints and the probability and weighting factors for each of the datasets prior to said reiterating;
- and

- determining the common coefficient vector from a composite of the developed matrices.

8. (Original) The processor-based method of claim 7, further comprising multiplying the similar sets of functions within the different data sources by the common coefficient vector.

9. (Previously presented) A storage medium comprising program instructions executable by a processor for:

- selecting a set number of functions correlating variable parameters of a dataset;

- determining distances between datapoints of the dataset and values correlated with the set number of functions;

- calculating harmonic averages of the distances;

- regressing the set number of functions using datapoint probability and weighting factors associated with the determined distances;

- repeating said determining and calculating for the regressed set of functions;

- computing a change in harmonic averages for the set number of functions prior to and subsequent to said regressing; and

reiterating said regressing, repeating and computing upon determining the change in harmonic averages is greater than a predetermined value to thereby determine a pattern in said dataset.

10. (Original) The storage medium of claim 9, wherein the program instructions are executable using a processor for computing the datapoint probability and weighting factors.

11. (Original) The storage medium of claim 9, wherein the program instructions are executable using a processor for developing matrices from the dataset datapoints and the probability and weighting factors prior to said reiterating.

12. (Original) The storage medium of claim 11, wherein the program instructions are executable using a processor for amassing matrices developed from a plurality of datasets each from a different data source.

13. (Original) The storage medium of claim 11, wherein the program instructions are executable using a processor for determining a common coefficient vector from the composite of matrices.

14. (Original) The method of claim 13, wherein the program instructions are executable using a processor for multiplying similar sets of functions within the different data sources by the common coefficient vector.

15. (Previously presented) A system, comprising:
an input port configured to receive data; and
a processor configured to:
regress functions correlating variable parameters of a set of the data;

cluster the functions using a K-Harmonic Mean performance function; and
repeat said regress and cluster sequentially to thereby determine a pattern in said set of data.

16. (Original) The system of claim 15, wherein the processor is arranged within one of a plurality of data sources each comprising a processor configured to:

regress the functions on a dataset of the respective data source;
cluster the functions using a K-Harmonic Mean performance function; and
repeat said regress and cluster sequentially.

17. (Original) The system of claim 15, further comprising a central station coupled to the plurality of data sources, wherein the central station comprises a processor configured to compute common coefficient vectors which compensate for variations between the regressively clustered functions representing the datasets, and wherein each of the processors of the data sources is configured to alter the functions by the common coefficient vectors.

18. (Previously presented) A system, comprising:
a plurality of data sources; and
a means for regressively clustering datapoints from the plurality of data sources without transferring data between the plurality of data sources to thereby determine a pattern in data contained in said data sources.

19. (Original) The system of claim 18, wherein the means for regressively clustering the datasets comprises a means for applying a regression algorithm and a K-Harmonic Means performance function on the datasets.

20. (Original) The system of claim 18, wherein the means for regressively clustering the datasets comprises a means for applying a regression algorithm and a K-Means performance function on the datasets.

21. (Original) The system of claim 18, wherein the means for regressively clustering the datasets comprises a means for applying a regression algorithm and an Expectation Maximization performance function on the datasets.

22. (Original) The system of claim 18, further comprising a central station communicably coupled to the plurality of data sources, wherein the means is further for:

- collecting dataset information at the central station from the plurality of data sources;
- determining a common coefficient vector from the collected dataset information; and
- altering datasets within the plurality of data sources by the common coefficient vector.

23. (Original) The system of claim 18, wherein the means for regressively clustering the datasets comprises a storage medium with program instructions executable using a processor for:

- selecting a set number of functions correlating variable parameters of a dataset;
- determining distances between datapoints of the dataset and values correlated with the set number of functions;
- regressing the set number of functions using datapoint probability and weighting factors associated with the determined distances;
- calculating a difference of harmonic averages for the distances determined prior to and subsequent to said regressing; and

reiterating said regressing, determining and calculating upon determining the difference of harmonic averages is less than a predetermined value.

24. (Previously presented) A system, comprising:
a plurality of data sources each having a processor configured to access datapoints within the respective data source; and
a central station coupled to the plurality of data sources and comprising a processor, wherein the processors of the central station and plurality of data sources are collectively configured to mine the datapoints of the data sources as a whole without transferring all of the datapoints between the data sources and the central station to thereby determine a pattern in datapoints contained in said data sources.
25. (Original) The system of claim 24, wherein the each of the processors within the plurality of data sources is configured to regressively cluster a dataset within the respective data source.
26. (Original) The system of claim 25, wherein the processor within the central station is configured to:
collect information pertaining to the regressively clustered datasets; and
based upon the collected information, calculate common coefficient vectors which balance variations between functions correlating similar variable parameters of the regressively clustered datasets.
27. (Original) The system of claim 26, wherein the processor within the central station is further configured to:
compute a residual error from the common coefficient vectors;

propagate the common coefficient vectors to the data sources upon computing a residual error value greater than a predetermined value; and

send a message to the data sources to terminate the regression clustering of the datasets upon computing a residual error value less than a predetermined value.

28. (Previously presented) A processor-based method for mining data, comprising:

independently applying a regression clustering algorithm to a plurality of distributed datasets;

developing matrices from probability and weighting factors computed from the regression clustering algorithm, wherein the matrices individually represent the distributed datasets without including all datapoints within the datasets;

determining global coefficient vectors from a composite of the matrices; and

multiplying functions correlating similar variable parameters of the distributed datasets by the global coefficient vectors to thereby determine a pattern in said datasets.

29. (Original) The processor-based method of claim 28, further comprising repeating said independently applying, said developing, said determining and said multiplying.

30. (Original) The processor-based method of claim 28, further comprising calculating a residue error associated with the global coefficients prior to said multiplying.

IX. EVIDENCE APPENDIX

Applied Regression Analysis

THIRD EDITION

Norwegian R. Draper

Harry Smith



RELAY SERIES IN PROBABILITY AND STATISTICS
TEXTS AND REFERENCES SECTION


Edited by WALTER A. SHEWCHART and SAMUEL S. WILKS

Editors: V. Barnett, Ralph A. Bradley, Karl A. C. Crook, Richard L. Fisher,
John M. Johnson, J. B. Kadane, David G. Kendall, David W. Scott,
Bernard W. Silverman, Adrian F. M. Smith, Josef L. Teugels, Geoffrey S. Watson,
J. Stuart Hunter, Kenneth

A complete list of the titles in this series appears at the end of this volume.

Contents

Preface	xiii
About the Software	xvii
0. Basic Prerequisite Knowledge	1
0.1. Distributional Normal, t , and F , 1	
0.2. Confidence Intervals for Means and t -Tests, 4	
0.3. Elements of Matrix Algebra, 5	
1. Fitting a Straight Line by Least Squares	15
1.1. Introduction: The Need for Statistical Analysis, 15	
1.2. Straight Line Relationship Between Two Variables, 18	
1.3. Linear Regression: Fitting a Straight Line by Least Squares, 20	
1.4. The Analysis of Variance, 28	
1.5. Confidence Intervals and Tests for β_0 and β_1 , 34	
1.6. F -Test for Homogeneity of Regression, 38	
1.7. The Correlation Between X and Y , 40	
1.8. Summary of the Straight Line Fit Comparisons, 44	
1.9. Historical Remarks, 45	
Appendix A: Seven Plot Data, 46	
Exercises are in "Exercises for Chapters 1-3", 46	
2. Checking the Straight Line Fit	47
2.1. Lack of Fit and Pure Error, 47	
2.2. Testing Homogeneity of Pure Error, 50	

This book is printed on acid-free paper. 

Copyright © 1999 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc. All rights reserved.

Published simultaneously in Canada.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise, except as may be permitted in writing by John Wiley & Sons, Inc. This publication is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. (978) 750-8400. For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy licence by CCC, a separate system of payment has been arranged. The fee code for users of the Transactional Reporting Service is 0883-8809/99 \$04.00. This book is set in 10/12 pt. Times Ten Roman. Printed in the United States of America. 1999. 100 pages. ISBN 0-471-38840-9. ISBN 0-471-38841-7 (pbk).

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data.

Draper, Norman Richard.
Applied regression analysis / N. R. Draper & R. A. Smith. -- 3rd ed.
p. cm. -- (Wiley series in probability and statistics. Text and reference series)
1. Regression analysis. I. Draper, Norman Richard. II. Smith, Robert. III. Series. IV. Title. V. Text and reference series.

QA138.2.D73 D37 1999

ISBN 0-471-38840-9 (hardcover) ISBN 0-471-38841-7 (pbk)

1. Regression analysis. I. Draper, Norman. II. Smith, Robert. III. Series. IV. Title. V. Text and reference series.

QA138.2.D73 D37 1999

vi	CONTENTS	
172	Appendix 6A Orthogonal Columns in the X Matrix, 165	
	Appendix 6B Two Predictors Sequential Sums of Squares, 167	
	Exercises for Chapters 5 and 6, 168	
205	7 Serial Correlation in the Residuals and the Durbin-Watson Test	
	7.1 Serial Correlation in Residuals, 179	
	7.2 The Durbin-Watson Test for a Common Type of Serial Correlation, 181	
	7.3 Examining Runs in the Time Sequence Plot of Residuals: Runs Test, 182	
	Exercises for Chapter 7, 186	
217	8 More on Checking Fitted Models	
	8.1 The X Matrix H and the Various Types of Residuals, 205	
	8.2 Added Variable Plot and Partial Residuals, 209	
	8.3 Detection of Influential Observations: Cook's Statistics, 210	
	8.4 Other Statistics Monitoring Influence, 214	
	8.5 Reference Books for Analysis of Residuals, 214	
	Exercises for Chapter 8, 215	
235	9 Multiple Regression: Special Topics	
	9.1 Testing a General Linear Hypothesis, 227	
	9.2 Generalized Least Squares and Weighted Least Squares, 231	
	9.3 An Example of Weighted Least Squares, 234	
	9.4 A Numerical Example of Weighted Least Squares, 236	
	9.5 Restricted Least Squares, 239	
	9.6 Inverse Regression (Multiple Predictor Cases), 239	
	9.7 Phenor Regression When All the Variables Are Subject to Error, 241	
	Appendix 9A Legendre's Undetermined Multiplier, 231	
	Exercises for Chapter 9, 233	
241	10 Bias in Regression Estimates, and Expected Values of Mean Squares and Sums of Squares	
	10.1 Bias in Regression Estimates, 235	
	10.2 The Effect of Bias on the Least Squares Analysis of Variance, 238	
	10.3 Finding the Expected Values of Mean Squares, 239	
	10.4 Expected Value of Extra Sum of Squares, 240	
	Exercises for Chapter 10, 241	

16.3	Controlling and Scaling Regression Error, 373	367
16.4	Measuring Multicollinearity, 375	
16.5	Salmon's Suggestion for Detecting Multicollinearity, 376	
Appendix 16A	Transforming X Matrices to Create Orthogonal Columns, 382	
	Exercises for Chapter 16, 385	
17	Ridge Regression	387
17.1	Introduction, 387	
17.2	Basic Form of Ridge Regression, 387	
17.3	Ridge Regression of the Haid Data, 389	
17.4	In What Circumstances Is Ridge Regression Absolutely the Correct Way to Proceed?, 393	
17.5	The Phases Data Viewpoint, 394	
17.6	Concluding Remarks, 395	
Appendix 17A	Ridge Estimates in Terms of Least Squares Estimates, 396	
Appendix 17B	Mean Square Error Arguments, 396	
Appendix 17C	Canonical Form of Ridge Regression, 397	
	Exercises for Chapter 17, 400	
18	Generalized Linear Models (GLM)	401
18.1	Introduction, 401	
18.2	The Expanded Family of Distributions, 402	
18.3	Fitting Generalized Linear Models (GLMs), 404	
18.4	Performing the Calculations: An Example, 406	
18.5	Further Remarks, 408	
	Exercises for Chapter 18, 408	
19	Mixture Ingredients as Predictor Variables	409
19.1	Mixture Experiments: Experimental Spaces, 409	
19.2	Models for Mixture Experiments, 412	
19.3	Mixture Experiments in Restricted Regions, 415	
19.4	Example 1, 415	
19.5	Example 2, 419	
Appendix 19A	Transforming k Mixture Variables to $k - 1$ Working Variables, 422	
	Exercises for Chapter 19, 425	
20	The Geometry of Least Squares	427
Appendix 11A	How Equidistant Should My Regression Be?, 267	
	Exercises for Chapter 11, 268	
12	Models Containing Functions of the Predictors, Including Polynomial Models	251
12.1	More Complicated Model Functions, 251	
12.2	Worked Example of Second-Order Surface Fitting for $k = 3$ and $k = 2$ Predicting Variables, 254	
12.3	Resolving Terms in Polynomial Models, 266	
	Exercises for Chapter 12, 272	
13	Transformation of the Response Variable	277
13.1	Introduction and Preliminary Remarks, 277	
13.2	Power Family of Transformations as the Response Box-Cox Model, 280	
13.3	A Second Method for Estimating λ , 285	
13.4	Response Transformations: Other Interesting and Sometimes Useful Plans, 289	
13.5	Other Types of Response Transformations, 293	
13.6	Response Transformations Chosen to Stabilize Variance, 294	
	Exercises for Chapter 13, 294	
14	"Quasi" Variables	299
14.1	Quasi Variables to Separate Blocks of Data with Different Intercepts, Same Model, 299	
14.2	Interaction Terms Involving Quasi Variables, 307	
14.3	Quasi Variables for Segmented Models, 311	
	Exercises for Chapter 14, 317	
15	Selecting the "Best" Regression Equation	327
15.0	Introduction, 327	
15.1	Adjusted R^2 and "Best Subset" Regression, 329	
15.2	Stepwise Regression, 335	
15.3	Backward Elimination, 339	
15.4	Significance Levels for Selection Procedures, 342	
15.5	Variables and Bonferroni, 343	
15.6	Selection Procedures Applied to the Screen Data, 345	
Appendix 15A	Haid Data, Correlation Matrix, and All 15 Possible Regressions, 348	
	Exercises for Chapter 15, 353	

20.3 Orthogonalizing in the General Regression Case, 435	447
20.4 Range Space and Null Space of a Matrix M, 437	
20.7 The Algebra and Geometry of Pure Errors, 439	
Appendix 20A. Generalized Inverse M^+ , 441	
Exercises for Chapter 20, 444	
21 More Geometry of Least Squares	447
21.1 The Geometry of a Null Hypothesis: A Simple Example, 447	
21.2 General Case $H_0: A\beta = c$: The Projection Algebra, 449	
21.3 Geometric Illustrations, 449	
21.4 The p -Test for H_0 , Geometrically, 450	
21.5 The Geometry of R^2 , 452	
21.6 Change in R^2 for Models Nested Via $A\beta = 0$, Not Involving R_0 , 452	
21.7 Multiple Regression with Two Predictor Variables as a Sequence of Straight Line Regressions, 454	
Exercises for Chapter 21, 459	
22 Orthogonal Polynomials and Summary Data	461
22.1 Introduction, 461	
22.2 Orthogonal Polynomials, 461	
22.3 Regression Analysis of Summary Data, 467	
Exercises for Chapter 22, 469	
23 Multiple Regression Applied to Analysis of Variance Problems	473
23.1 Introduction, 473	
23.2 The One-Way Classification: Standard Analysis and an Example, 474	
23.3 Regression Treatment of the One-Way Classification Example, 477	
23.4 Regression Treatment of the One-Way Classification Using the Original Model, 481	
23.5 Regression Treatment of the One-Way Classification: Independent Normal Equations, 486	
23.6 The Two-Way Classification with Equal Numbers of Observations in the Cells: An Example, 488	
23.7 Regression Treatment of the Two-Way Classification Example, 488	
23.8 The Two-Way Classification with Equal Numbers of	
24 An Introduction to Nonlinear Estimation	505
24.1 Least Squares for Nonlinear Models, 505	
24.2 Estimating the Parameters of a Nonlinear System, 506	
24.3 An Example, 513	
24.4 A Note on Reparameterization of the Model, 529	
24.5 The Consistency of Linear Least Squares, 530	
24.6 The Geometry of Nonlinear Least Squares, 534	
24.7 Nonlinear Growth Models, 543	
24.8 Nonlinear Models: Other Work, 550	
24.9 References, 553	
Exercises for Chapter 24, 559	
25 Robust Regression	562
25.1 Least Absolute Deviations Regression (L1 Regression), 562	
25.2 M-estimators, 567	
25.3 Scaled Employment Example, 573	
25.4 Tukey Example, 575	
25.5 Least Median of Squares (LMS) Regression, 577	
25.6 Robust Regression with Bounded Residuals (rreg), 577	
25.7 Other Methods, 580	
25.8 Consistency and Efficiency, 581	
25.9 References, 581	
Exercises for Chapter 25, 584	
26 Resampling Procedures (Bootstrapping)	585
26.1 Resampling Procedures for Regression Models, 585	
26.2 Example: Simple Linear Reg, 586	
26.3 Example: Planes in Three Predictors, 588	
26.4 Reference Books, 588	
Appendix 26A. Sample MATLAB Programs to Bootstrap Residuals for a Simple Example, 589	
Appendix 26B. Sample MINTAB Programs to Bootstrap P-values for a Specific Example, 591	
Additional Comments, 591	
Exercises for Chapter 26, 591	

Contents	684
Preface	687
Normal Distribution, 684	
Percentage Points of the t -Distribution, 686	
Percentage Points of the χ^2 -Distribution, 687	
Percentage Points of the F -Distribution, 688	
Index of Symbols Associated with Exercises	
Index	697

Preface to the Third Edition

The second edition had 12 chapters. This edition has 26. On the whole (but not entirely) we have chosen to use smaller chapters, and so distinguished more between different types of material. The table of contents below shows the major relationships between second edition and third edition sections and chapters.

Material dropped consists mainly of second edition Sections 6.5 to 6.13 and 6.19, Sections 7.1 to 7.6, and Chapter 8. New to this edition are Chapters 16 on multivariate regression, 18 on generalized linear models, 19 on mixture regression, 20 and 21 on the geometry of least squares, 23 on robust regression, and 26 on resampling procedures. Small variations have been made even in sections where the text is basically unchanged. Less prominence has been given to formulas, which are now freely available (either in book or computer form) via the internet archives in *Current Index to Statistics*. References are mostly given in brief either in situ or near the end of a section or chapter. Full references are in a bibliography but some references are also given in full in sections or within the text or in exercises, whenever this was felt to be the appropriate thing to do. There is no precise rule for doing this. They are included as an expansion to the text and so most exercises have full or partial solutions, there are a very few exceptions. One hundred and one true/false questions have also been provided; all of them are in "true" form to prevent readers remembering exercises incorrectly. Exercises are revised then to include "false" questions (mostly wrong). Sections 20 and 21 have some duplication with work in Chapter 23, but we decided not to eliminate this because the sections combine some different and have different emphases. Other smaller duplications occur; in general, we feel that duplication is a good feature, and so we do not avoid it.

Our viewpoint in putting this book together is that it is desirable for students of regression to work through the straight line fit using a pocket calculator and then to proceed quickly to analyzing larger models on the computer. We are aware that

14228

14229

14230

14231

14232

14233

14234

14235

14236

14237

14238

14239

14240

14241

14242

14243

14244

14245

14246

14247

14248

14249

14250

14251

14252

14253

14254

14255

14256

14257

14258

14259

14260

14261

14262

14263

14264

14265

14266

14267

14268

14269

14270

14271

14272

14273

14274

14275

14276

14277

14278

14279

14280

14281

14282

14283

14284

14285

14286

14287

14288

14289

14290

14291

14292

14293

14294

14295

14296

14297

14298

14299

14300

14301

14302

14303

14304

14305

14306

14307

14308

14309

14310

14311

14312

14313

14314

14315

14316

14317

14318

14319

14320

14321

14322

14323

14324

14325

14326

14327

14328

14329

14330

14331

14332

14333

14334

14335

14336

14337

14338

14339

14340

14341

14342

14343

14344

14345

14346

14347

14348

14349

14350

14351

14352

14353

14354

14355

14356

14357

14358

14359

14360

14361

14362

14363

14364

14365

14366

14367

14368

14369

14370

14371

14372

14373

14374

14375

14376

14377

14378

14379

14380

14381

14382

14383

14384

14385

14386

14387

14388

14389

14390

14391

14392

14393

14394

14395

14396

14397

14398

14399

14400

14401

14402

14403

14404

14405

14406

14407

14408

14409

14410

14411

14412

14413

14414

14415

14416

14417

14418

14419

14420

14421

14422

14423

14424

14425

14426

14427

14428

14429

14430

14431

14432

14433

14434

14435

14436

14437

14438

14439

14440

14441

14442

14443

14444

14445

14446

14447

14448

14449

14450

14451

14452

14453

14454

14455

14456

14457

14458

14459

14460

14461

14462

14463

14464

14465

14466

14467

14468

14469

14470

14471

14472

14473

14474

14475

14476

14477

14478

14479

14480

14481

14482

14483

14484

14485

14486

14487

14488

14489

14490

14491

14492

14493

14494

14495

14496

14497

14498

14499

14500

14501

14502

14503

14504

14505

14506

14507

14508

14509

14510

14511

14512

14513

14514

14515

14516

14517

14518

14519

14520

14521

14522

14523

14524

14525

14526

14527

14528

14529

14530

14531

14532

14533

14534

14535

14536

14537

14538

14539

14540

14541

14542

14543

14544

14545

14546

14547

14548

14549

14550

14551

14552

14553

14554

14555

14556

14557

14558

14559

14560

14561

14562

14563

14564

14565

14566

14567

14568

14569

14570

14571

14572

14573

14574

14575

14576

14577

14578

14579

14580

14581

14582

14583

14584

14585

14586

14587

14588

14589

14590

14591

14592

14593

14594

14595

14596

14597

14598

14599

14600

14601

14602

14603

14604

14605

14606

14607

14608

14609

14610

14611

14612

14613

14614

14615

14616

14617

14618

14619

14620

14621

14622

14623

14624

14625

14626

14627

14628

14629

14630

14631

14632

14633

14634

14635

14636

1463

Appl. No. 10/694,367
Appeal Brief dated April 9, 2007
Reply to final Office action of October 17, 2006

X. RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

None.